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Consumer involvement in quality evaluation of services

Introduction

This brochure is one of the results of the European project “People with intellectual disability as consumers of support services”. This project was coordinated by Inclusion Europe and financed by the European Commission. Its main objective was to take up recent developments in European consumer policy including its implications for the role of the users of support services.

While other materials produced within this project are addressed to service users (e.g. the booklet “I know what I want! I buy what I want!”) or to supporters, parents and frontline staff (Trainers Manual), this paper is mainly conceived as an input for all persons responsible for the outcome quality of support services. This booklet should give an impulse to think about what the new role of users as consumers of support services could mean for the design of quality evaluation systems in the social field.

What this brochure wants to achieve

The aim of this paper is to raise awareness of the fact that changes in the approach towards the “clients” or “consumers” of services for people with intellectual disability do have an important impact on the way the quality evaluation systems of these services should be designed and organised.

What this brochure definitely does not want to be

This brochure does not claim to offer an overview of the broad field of quality management models for social services. It claims neither scientific validity nor comprehensiveness.

A new approach towards quality

This brochure wants to introduce a philosophy about quality evaluation where the users and their advocates – who can be parents, case-managers or other support persons – play the central roles. This focus on the users will be placed in the context of a new view on people with intellectual disability as users of support services. They are no longer forced into a passive role of dependent recipients of help. They are viewed as potentially strong consumers who actively evaluate and influence the quality of their support – of which they expect that it meets their needs and wishes.

Advocates play a double role in this. On the one hand they can provide assistance where necessary to support people with intellectual disability in the role of active consumers. It has to be stated on the other hand that advocates have always had - and will have in the future - a role on their own. From the perspective of an organisation of people with intellectual disability and their parents, we want to stress the fundamental role that advocates - be it family members, guardians or other advocates - have played in the enhancement of the quality of support services.
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Involvement of customers creates better quality

Quality management in social services
For the last decade, models of Total Quality Management have finally percolated the field of social services. User organisations and governmental decrees have forced service providers to make use of quality management instruments to assure and enhance the quality of their services.

Approaches to quality evaluation should become independent
Due to the different reasons for the implementation of quality management, service providers have applied different kinds of instruments. And owing to restricted financial resources, the focus on cost efficiency and quality of management processes was predominating.

Governments, moreover, often have the tendency to reduce quality management to a question of minimum standards, mostly focussed on issues of structural quality. Experts in special education on the other hand established quality instruments to prove the effectiveness of their educational programs.

Therefore it would be desirable to establish systems of quality evaluation that are independent from and not influenced by service providers or governments.

Why quality management need the service users
Leaving all the benefits of the above-mentioned quality measures aside, there are mainly two reasons why systems of quality management have to be complemented by instruments based on the perspective of service users.

• The right to participation for people with intellectual disability has not only explicitly been enshrined in international treaties and conventions, it can also be deduced from their role as consumers. According to this role they have the power to influence the services which are paid to organise their personal support, the right to choose and to complain.

• The right to self-determination implies that the role of people with intellectual disability is changing from the passive role of dependent recipients of care towards one of active consumers who determine themselves the goals they want to pursue and the changes they want to realise in their lives.

Establishing instruments of quality management which refer to the perspective of service users leads necessarily to changes in the focus of quality evaluations.

The special role of advocates
Most people with intellectual disability have much more abilities for independent decision-making than attributed to them in the past. However, the nature of their disability makes a support by advocates in more complex issues often indispensable. This applies especially for people with severe and profound intellectual disability.

Unlike past and often present practice, the views of advocates should in no way simply substitute the voice of people with intellectual disability. Family members, guardians, peers and other advocates should add their own independent view and concerns and therefore complement rather than replace the views of the person with intellectual disability. Adequate quality management in services for people
with intellectual disability can only take place in cooperation of three different
groups of actors: people with intellectual disability, their advocates (their role being
consumer protection), and the service providers.

**Input orientation versus output orientation**
In evaluating the quality of services consumers naturally focus on the outcome
quality and are less interested in the questions of structural quality or the quality of
processes. Because from the perspective of governments or financial managers
proper evaluation instruments are mostly input oriented and they are not suitable
for the evaluation of service quality from the perspective of consumers of social
services.

Suitable evaluation instruments therefore have to measure quality items of ser-
vice objectively, or could measure consumer satisfaction.

**Quality is evaluated from the client’s perspective**

**Standards and uniformity are not adequate**
Quality evaluation from the client’s perspective is about their personal experience
with their housing, living, working conditions. The focus should be on the achieve-
ment of outcomes derived from the person’s preferences and lifestyle. Different
aspects can be evaluated:
- their satisfaction with certain aspects of their life and the support they are get-
ting
- the value and relative importance people attach to these aspects;
- the degree to which their individual needs, wants and preferences are met;
- the degree to which they can aim for personal objectives;
- the degree to which they have the feeling that change or improvement is possi-
ble.

**Clients want quality-of-life outcomes**
The concept of quality-of-life, however, is very broad and consequently very diffi-
cult to evaluate in the context of the quality of support services. It makes little
sense to tackle the quality of a service generally. Quality assessment from a con-
sumer perspective takes this perspective into account on all levels of the assess-
ment. What does this mean? Persons with a disability identify for themselves, per-
haps with support, what are important and valued outcomes in certain areas of life
and determine whether these outcomes are achieved or not. All areas that are
relevant to the person concerned must be given a place in the quality evaluation.

**A multidimensional approach shaped by the client**
Depending on the importance of a certain area of life to a client, this area can be
taken into account when evaluating the quality of support and services. The follow-
ing areas of life that are encompassed by this broad concept of quality-of-life:
- **Emotional well-being**, covering aspects like security, spirituality, happiness,
  self-appraisal, sexuality, etc.
- **Social relations**, covering family relations, friendships, intimacy and affection,
  good relations with co-residents, etc.
- **Community affiliation and inclusion**, covering social contacts with people in the
  local community, being accepted and respected, social participation, etc.
- **Material well-being**, covering property, money, a secure and comfortable home
  environment, etc.
• Personal development and constructive activities, covering access to educational activities, learning skills, having meaningful work and leisure activities, participating in domestic tasks, etc.
• Physical health, covering health, food, mobility, access to health care, etc.
• Self-determination in making choices and decisions, exerting influence on the environment, having a preferred lifestyle, etc.
• Civic equality and involvement, covering the right to participation, information and education, access to general services and specific care, etc.
• Protection from violence, abuse, physical and emotional harm and discomfort, neglect, etc.

Regular evaluation is necessary
Because needs and preferences change in the course of life, quality of life outcomes are not fixed and definite. Therefore, quality evaluation from the client’s perspective must not be a once-only event, but something that takes place regularly.

Quality of life is determined by the support
The quality of life experienced by disabled people is determined to a certain degree by the kind of their support services. That is why researchers – on the basis of focus groups comprising persons with disabilities, advocates, professionals and decision makers – have defined some support processes that contribute to a significant degree to positive quality-of-life outcomes:

Person-centeredness: each person with a disability is acknowledged as an individual with unique potentialities and needs. One of the most important quality criteria therefore is that support should be individualised and person-centred.

Full participation in society: people with a disability must have inclusive, community-based educational, employment and living options. Support is directed at participation in community-based activities and at interactions involving people with and without disabilities.

Dignity and respect: support must be given in respect and esteem for the integrity and the personal life style of each person. They should also be protected against all kinds of violence, abuse and neglect.

Choice and control: people with a disability must be given every opportunity to make their own choices and to exercise decision-making concerning their living conditions, work, leisure time, relations etc. They are encouraged to act as the primary agent in their life and to achieve personal goals.

Relations: people with a disability should feel a bond with and commitment to family, friends/acquaintances. Support must be directed at extending and strengthening this relational network.

Independence and development: support is directed at raising clients’ confidence in their own competencies. At the same time they are stimulated to develop skills and to carry out tasks and activities as independently as possible.

Engagement in varied and stimulating work and leisure time activities: persons with a disability must be supported to participate in activities according to their interests and choices. In so doing, they become open to a large range of life experiences.
It needs to be stressed once again that ‘standards’ like this only make sense if they are used as the link between the service conditions and efforts, and the aspects of quality of life – in terms of outcome – expected by a client.

How consumer involvement can work

Consumers are the best source of information
Who should know better about the quality of services for people with disabilities than the members of this group themselves? The fact that many people with intellectual disability have limited (verbal) abilities to communicate should lead to extensive attempts to use alternative means of communication rather than to carry out quality evaluation without their involvement.

Advocates, like family members, guardians or peers, are an important and necessary complement, but should never substitute the perspective of the people with intellectual disability.

Strategies of consumer involvement in quality management
There are several possibilities to integrate the contributions of service users and their advocates into the work process of services to enhance their quality:

• strategies of person-centred planning allow people to think about their life, to make choices as to the future and to undertake actions to realise the objectives they have assumed.
• case managers acting on behalf of their clients are urged to base their negotiations with service providers on a clearing process in which clients and their advocates can indicate to which degree the support package is adequately tailored to their abilities, needs and aspirations.
• by means of personal interviews, visits, job traineeships or model houses persons with intellectual disability can check out how, where, with whom and with what support they want to live, work or spend their leisure time.
• interests can be assessed with activity lists and personal lifestyle preferences by questionnaires, interviews or observation.
• participation in the way support is given or the service policy can also take the form of consumer board meetings.

Strategies of consumer involvement in quality evaluation
Strategies to evaluate the outcome quality from the perspective of the consumers could focus on items of consumer satisfaction or on items indicating an objective level of service qualities.

How to evaluate consumer satisfaction
The individual or collective satisfaction of service users can be investigated by

• internal or external evaluations using questionnaires or interviews. In case of missing communication skills, satisfaction can be discovered through participatory observations.
• using accessible complaint procedures to stimulate customers to give feedback.

Consumer satisfaction is not enough
Two fundamental problems are to be taken into account when evaluating consumer satisfaction. It is often seen that people with intellectual disability - maybe as a result of their special socialisation - show a tendency to give "socially desired
answers”. On the other hand, personal satisfaction mainly is result of a comparison of a present with a past situation. Therefore, the same service quality might lead to different judgements according to different experiences of consumers. Many people with intellectual disability also face the problem that they have only a very limited range of experience with different possibilities due to institutionalisation or poor services in their history.

Therefore, it is useful to involve also family members, guardians or peers as advocates in the evaluation of consumer satisfaction. They can provide the necessary corrective between the limited life experience of the user and the interests of the service provider.

Objective measurements of service quality
A valid judgement on the outcome quality of services has to be based on an evaluation system working with objective criteria. This valid basis is also needed to provide the opportunity to benchmark the results of different services.

How to involve consumers in the evaluation?
There are different ways to involve service users in evaluation measures:

• the experience of people with intellectual disability as service users can be used in formulating the questionnaires or interview guidelines for quality audits of services.
• people with intellectual disability can be trained to do interviews with their peers in an external audit. This brings the advantage that the small social distance between the interviewer and the respondents minimises the tendency to “socially desired answers” and this way leads towards more valid results.
• wheelchair users for example can carry out standardised accessibility tests to evaluate whether a service facility is accessible not only on the architectural plan but also in reality.

What conditions are necessary for consumer involvement?
To successfully involve people with intellectual disability in quality evaluation some necessary conditions should be considered:

• Open, general or abstract questions should be avoided. Questions should be linked to the real life-experience of the service users.
• Respondents should be motivated by explaining the objective of the inquiry and the goals of the quality measures.
• A positive interview situation should be created; e.g. the respondent should decide on the place and time of the interview.
• The pace has to be adjusted to the needs of the respondents.
• Questions and possible answers should be easy to understand and accessible to persons with low communications skills. This can be supported by using easy-to-read texts and by using visual presentations like pictures, graphics and symbols.

Quality depends on the kind of service and the style of support
Research on the quality of life of service users demonstrates that structural items of services (e.g. small size, community location, typical housing design) may be a necessary condition for the promotion of good quality, but are not sufficient.

Staff attitudes towards the service users, the working methods and the organisational procedures which shape what staff does and how support is given are also crucial factors which determine the level of outcome quality for service users. For this reason, quality evaluations should deal with all these dimensions.
Who needs to get involved?

People with a disability are the main actors

People with intellectual disability have the right to accessible information to know and understand what support is available. This accessible information together with the sharing of good practice should make it possible for them to make 'informed' choices in choosing and using services.

They should be sensitised and trained regarding their role in the evaluation of the quality of services. They must be informed about the possible strategies to give their opinion on quality of services and support, and to realise quality of life outcomes.

Advocates, like family members, guardians or peers, can contribute important complementary opinions and views. This is especially important for people with severe or profound intellectual disability.

Conflicting interests?

Staff members or parents have often taken the role of advocates for their clients or their children. It has often been overseen that the interests of clients or children do not necessarily coincide with the interests of their advocates and that the role of advocates might be in conflict with other interests they might have.

As we have stated before, advocates play a double role. They should be involved in the process of quality assurance as "secondary consumers" in an own, defined role within the evaluation process. They can also — if necessary — support people with intellectual disability in expressing their wishes and needs.

However, it is very important that quality evaluation systems which involve advocates supporting users or acting on behalf of users have to assure that these advocates can fulfil their role without being in a conflict of interests. When this condition is fulfilled, the two major actors in quality evaluation will contribute to the enhancement of the quality of support services.

Service providers should know what they aim for

The objectives, values and mission statements that are the basis for the support provided by a service should be clarified and put forward in easy-to-read format. They should all be transformed into well-defined outcome targets.

The person in the centre of the strategies and work processes of service providers

People with a disability and their advocates should be fully involved in planning and evaluating the life conditions, the support and services users of a service receive. This is a collaborative and recurring process. Lifestyles and necessary support are based on personal dreams, interests, preferences, strengths and capacities. Users must have the opportunity to make meaningful choices and informed decisions. Their opportunities and experiences will then be maximised. They can regularly verify to what degree they are satisfied with the support and the quality-of-life outcomes they have.

No quality without interaction and communication

Because the desired quality-of-life outcomes may vary from client to client and may change over time, the service provider must pay continuous attention to client needs and wishes. An open and continuous dialogue where expectations and ex-
periences may be discussed is essential. The relationship between a client and a care professional is typified as full partnership in which they look for a perspective or objective they share. The contribution and perspective of persons with a disability and their families or other advocates is taken seriously and is respected.

The customer is king

The right to be listened to is one of the basic consumer rights. Consequently, the service provider has the ‘duty’ to show some organisational flexibility. It makes no sense to organise quality evaluation from the client perspective if the managers are not committed to take the clients' opinion into account. An organisation must be flexible and open to creative solutions and innovative thinking.

A core role for policy-makers

Policy makers must take the group of people with an intellectual disability seriously. People with a disability and their advocates should have a stronger influence at political level. They want to put in their opinions when it comes to policies to tackle social factors that negatively affect the quality of their lives. Individuals with a disability must be able to participate in a large scale of democratic decision-making processes that directly affect their life and well-being. These statements fit into our general demand towards policy-makers to empower disabled consumers.

Policy-makers also have a responsibility regarding the providers of support services. From the position of funders, they can “force” service providers to slowly increase their quality and involve users and their advocates in this process.

Improving the lives of people with a disability requires national and European commitment to the values and principles of person-centred support, full participation in society, dignity and respect, choice and control, relations, independency and development and engagement in activities. These principles should govern all service delivery and support.

Literature
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